The effect of compression ratio on exhaust emissions from a PCCI Diesel engine
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Abstract

A description of the development of a single cylinder test facility is presented, being based on a production 4-cylinder DI Diesel engine and designed to allow study of the emissions characteristics over a very wide range of operating conditions. The objective was to establish how engine out NO\textsubscript{x} emissions can be reduced to the estimated levels required by the next emissions target ‘Euro 6’ and thus be able to apply the findings to the original 4-cylinder engine and minimise the requirement for currently immature NO\textsubscript{x} after treatment. It has been proposed that further reduction in compression ratio beyond current levels would be beneficial to engine out emissions and specific power, and could be facilitated by developments in cold start technology. The results of a study using this single cylinder facility to evaluate the effect of reducing compression ratio from 18.4 to 16.0 are presented. It was found that, although there was a small CO and HC penalty, either reducing the compression ratio or retarding the injection timing greatly reduced NO\textsubscript{x} and soot emissions when both premixed and diffusion-combustion phases were present. This effect was less significant when the combustion was solely premixed.
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1. Introduction

One of the most critical challenges ahead for the Diesel passenger-car engine is to meet future emissions regulations whilst improving performance and fuel economy with a minimal cost penalty. The current and emerging after-treatment technologies give encouraging results, but their cost and complexity threaten the competitiveness of the Diesel engine package. Conversely, over the past decade, research in Diesel homogeneous charge combustion has highlighted an alternative approach for significant engine out reductions of nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) and Particulate Matter (PM) emissions [1-9]. Importantly, it demonstrates the capability of simultaneously reducing NO\textsubscript{x} and PM emissions, thus potentially offering an extended use of Diesel engines without, or with greatly reduced, after-treatment requirements. There are two possible approaches to achieve this ultra-low emissions combustion, where both aim to obtain a lean and highly mixed charge prior to combustion.

The first is HCCI (Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition), relying on early injections to achieve a chemically and physically homogeneous mixture before auto-ignition. Homogeneous charge combustion is closer to the ideal constant-volume Otto cycle, as observed in Ref. [6]. There is no diffusion flame but a global near-instantaneous combustion, offering the same ultra-low NO\textsubscript{x} and soot emissions characteristics as HCCI for the gasoline application. The locally lean nature of the mixture results in negligible soot emissions and ultra-low NO\textsubscript{x} emissions due to the low flame temperatures. Typically, two distinct stages are present in the combustion with HCCI operation, the first stage is associated with the initial rupture of the long carbon chains, often referred to as cool combustion or low temperature oxidation [10]. This initiates the active radicals, which trigger the main combustion, also referred to as the high temperature oxidation. For high AFRs (Air-Fuel Ratios), the cool combustion results in an increase in in-cylinder temperature until the main combustion is triggered (the second distinct peak of the heat release). EGR (Exhaust Gas Recirculation) is used
to reduce the oxygen concentration and to control the rate of combustion by acting as a heat sink, especially for the higher loads where closer-to-stoichiometric mixtures are present.

The second approach to ultra-low emissions is PCCI (Premixed Charge Compression Ignition), which relies on late injections and high EGR rates to delay the auto-ignition. Compared to HCCI, PCCI offers lower NOx and soot reductions, but it does not exhibit the higher HC, CO, noise emissions, and the very tight requirements for homogeneity and leaness. PCCI is a single-stage combustion process closer to the premixed phase of conventional Diesel combustion, than the low and high temperature oxidations seen with HCCI [11]. PCCI is an approach generally more adapted to Diesel-fuelled applications as it does not rely on early injection timings when the piston is low in the cylinder and is therefore less prone to wall wetting with the inevitable high increases in HC and CO emissions. Furthermore, the combustion is not fully decoupled from the injection as in HCCI operation due to the late injections, allowing for greater control over the start of combustion.

The programme of work described here presents an exploration of the fundamentals of PCCI Diesel combustion to achieve low engine-out emissions, in particular the impact of reduced compression ratio on NOx and soot emissions.

2. Experimental Approach

2.1. Apparatus

In order to allow as wide an exploration as possible, a single-cylinder Diesel research engine was used with a configuration based on that of a current production 2.0 litre, four cylinder automotive engine. Two engine builds were available: Build #1 was a single-cylinder version of the four cylinder engine, and was used to define a baseline derived from existing multi-cylinder engine test data; Build #2 was the configuration to be used through the advanced combustion investigations. Details are shown in Table 1. The test cell was developed to offer independent control of inlet-air pressure and temperature, exhaust backpressure, EGR temperature, and injection pressure, opening the envelope beyond any hardware limiting characteristics such as those of an engine mounted high pressure fuel pump or turbocharger.

A flush-mounted in-cylinder pressure transducer was selected for its ability to provide accurate high speed transient pressure data, suitable for heat release calculations, which were considered as the key characteristics for the analysis of the combustion. Both oil and coolant engine-in temperatures were set at 90°C with flows maintained constant. The exhaust and EGR systems were particularly complex since they had the difficult task of replicating the 4-cylinder engine systems by providing the same functionalities and gas handling dynamic characteristics while being fed by a single cylinder. Beyond its primary role, the exhaust system was designed to provide a stable exhaust gas supply for EGR. In an effort to reduce the effect of pulsating gas flows, an exhaust chamber was inserted upstream from the EGR supply point. Although the overall size of the EGR circuit has been increased on the single-cylinder engine (over the production engine), the addition of two heat exchangers, a bypass, two temperature control valves and a rate valve have the advantage of providing a level of functionality rare in engine test facilities. The emissions analysis system comprised of an AVL 415 Variable Sampling Smoke Meter and a HORIBA MEXA 7100DEGR exhaust gas analyser. In addition an AVL 733 Dynamic Fuel Meter was used. The fuel
used throughout the investigations was Diesel BP FORD reference fuel with ultra-low sulphur Diesel fuel with a cetane number of 53.5, a density of 835 kg/m³ and a gross calorific value of 46 MJ/kg. The test cell and data acquisition were managed using a custom-built controller, while an EMTRONIX controller was used for the injection-system with high-speed data acquired using an MTS CAS system. Emissions data were collected and averaged over 30s while 100 cycles of high-speed data were collected.

2.2. Approach

The investigations focused on matching emissions and fuel consumption and the in-cylinder pressure profile during the engine cycle as well as derived parameters such as the rate of pressure change. Both qualitative and quantitative information were used to explain the various effects observed on the combustion during the investigations. Qualitative assessments were made of the shape of the pressure profile during the initial part of the combustion, the portion of the premixed combustion, defined by a peak shape, and diffusion combustion, defined by a lower rate of pressure change. The quantitative data, derived from the mean cylinder pressure traces, were the start and end of combustion, the maximum rate of pressure change and the phasing of the heat release or pressure change relative to TDC. Early in the course of the investigation, it was found that single-cycle profiles of rates of pressure change offered the most representative data due to the characteristics defined by the PCCI combustion. This was due to the fact that, despite an apparent combustion stability there was a temporal cycle-to-cycle variation where ensemble averaging could lead to a smoothed, unrepresentative profile, with longer duration and lower rates as depicted in Figure 1. As a consequence, before the analysis could progress, a single, representative engine cycle was selected for each test point from the 100 engine cycles. The selection was based on it offering a good representation of the combustion, in terms of start of combustion and angle of 50% burn. In the illustration shown in Figure 1, the selection of the pressure trace 2 would have been made.

3. Effect of compression ratio

The aim of the reduced compression ratio is to reduce the in-cylinder temperatures, hence flame temperatures during the combustion to suppress NOx emissions. Major reductions have not been implemented to date due to the associated negative effect on cold-start capability. In view of the developments in cold-start technology and with the appearance of variable compression ratio technology, this route now appears to be a feasible option for future engines. In order to exploit these possibilities, modifications were made to the piston to reduce the compression ratio from 18.4 to 16.0:1 in the Build #2 engine. The compression ratio reduction was achieved by reducing the bowl pip size (Figure 2), while maintaining a production engine squish height, which directly influences mixing and injector targeting.

The main objective for these investigations was to understand the impact of compression ratio on the fuel-air mixing and combustion characteristics at part load. Three key operating points were defined KP1, 1500 rev/min 3.0 bar GIMEP, KP2 2000 rev/min 7.7 bar GIMEP and KP3 2000 rev/min and 10.8 bar GIMEP. They were chosen to be representative of light to high load conditions, which predominate in the European drive cycle. Injection-timing responses conducted on the Build #1 engine were repeated on the Build #2 engine with particular attention given to match Build #2 engine
operating conditions, performance and emissions with those of Build #1, as can be seen in Table 2.

Since it was likely that there would be slight discrepancies between the multi and single cylinder engines, the aim was only to adjust the main-injection quantity to achieve the gross load (GIMEP), as this approach constituted an accurate measurement on a single-cylinder engine and enabled the investigations to focus on the compression and expansion strokes, avoiding complications with the differences in pumping and friction losses. Although the intention had been to match the injection strategy between builds it was found necessary to modify the pilot injection slightly at the lower compression ratio to achieve satisfactory combustion. The main characteristics analysed are indicated on Figure 3.

Figure 4 shows the impact of compression ratio on NOx emissions recorded at the three loads tested. NOx emissions decreased as the injection timing was retarded, and were also lowered when the compression ratio was reduced from 18.4:1 to 16.0:1. The reductions were more pronounced at the higher loads KP2 and KP3. At the light load KP1, only small benefits from the lower compression ratio were observed, likely to be linked with the already limited high-temperature, stoichiometric combustion. As the injection timing was retarded, the emissions differences between engine builds became negligibly small, which would suggest that the influence of in-cylinder pressure and temperature was reduced below a threshold, as was already the case at KP1. This highlights that NOx emissions under premixed combustion were mainly controlled by other operating conditions such as AFR and EGR rate, rather than temperature and pressure.

The effects of a reduced compression ratio on the in-cylinder pressure and temperature reached at auto-ignition are shown in Figure 5, as a function of the injection timing. The maximum temperatures reached before the combustion were lower for a compression ratio of 16:1, as calculated using the perfect gas law and polytropic coefficients derived from high-speed pressure data. The temperatures dropped from 260°C to 230°C at KP1 and from 175°C to 150°C at KP3 in Build #2 engine. This did not represent a large reduction relative to the peak flame temperatures but would act in two ways. Firstly, it would delay the auto-ignition hence increase the mixing time, which further reduces the starting temperature for the combustion along the expansion stroke. Secondly, the rate of combustion would reduce, as the fuel-air mixing would reduce the portion of stoichiometric fuel-air mixture. The lower pressures during the injection event would enhance fuel-air mixing by enabling the fuel spray to penetrate further and entrain more air. This increased mixing is also expected to delay auto-ignition and assist the mixture to lean out.

The fact that NOx emissions converged at the most retarded injection timings in Figure 4, but that the in-cylinder pressures and temperatures did not, emphasises that NOx emissions were not solely dependent on pressure or temperature. This contradicts the generally accepted strong link between temperatures at the start of combustion and NOx emissions. At the light load KP1, an examination of the rates of pressure change showed that the combustion profile resembled a fully-premixed charge combustion for both engine builds and across all injection timings. This led to very similar NOx emissions in both cases, which were therefore less sensitive to in-cylinder pressure or temperature. However, an observation of the rates of pressure change at KP2 and KP3 revealed a change in the combustion type during the injection-timing response. It progressed from combined premixed and diffusion combustion at the most advanced
injection timings to largely premixed-charge combustions at the more retarded injection timings. The fact that NOx emissions converged as diffusion combustion reduced consolidated the conclusions of Heywood [12] that NOx emissions formed during diffusion combustion of a close-to-stoichiometric mixture are very sensitive to in-cylinder pressures and temperatures. These results imply that NOx emissions formed during premixed combustion are less affected by in-cylinder pressure or temperature and highlight the existence of the third factor, the combination of AFR and EGR rate or local oxygen concentration, which seems to become dominant below a certain temperature threshold or during fully-premixed-charge combustion events.

As can be seen in Figure 6, the lower in-cylinder pressures and temperatures, as well as the increased mixing contributed to delay in auto-ignition. For comparable injection timings, the start of combustion was generally delayed by 2 to 4°C. These increased auto-ignition delays were in line with optical spray-rig observations made in Ref. [13]. The increased delay which resulted in longer mixing times gives rise to larger premixed-combustion phases and conversely less diffusion combustion. The impact of the lower pressure and increased auto-ignition delay are cumulative, since the delay also allowed more time for the fuel to penetrate still further. At the light load KP1, where there was fully-premixed-charge combustion, the slower rate of combustion of the same amount of fuel due to the lower compression ratio or retarded injection timing also led to a lower maximum rate of pressure change, as can be seen in Figure 7. However, in the case of combined premixed and diffusion combustion, typical at higher loads, it is believed that an increased portion of premixed-fuel burning simultaneously compensated for the slower rate of combustion, which can be seen in the maximum rates of pressure change. This would be consistent with the high noise levels recorded with HCCI.

Both high noise and NOx emissions are usually associated with high rates of pressure change, since these are a result of a rapid rate of combustion during premixed-combustion. This consequently leads to a high increase in the in-cylinder temperature, and hence a faster rate of combustion during the diffusion-combustion phase, thereby leading to a greater proportion of NOx forming conditions. However, it is thought that engine noise with a highly, but not fully, premixed-charge combustion should not be considered as an indication of NOx emissions but as an indication of rapid and predominantly premixed-combustion. A comment can be made concerning the variation with injection timing at each key point. KP1 results are expected to be the most accurate since they were obtained for fully-premixed-charge combustion which is characterised by a smooth pressure change profile. However, obtaining a maximum rate of pressure change during combustion events with both premixed and diffusion combustion presented difficulties, in processing and hence in drawing conclusions.

Although not as pronounced as for engine out NOx emissions, reducing the compression did lead to lower soot emissions within the measurement accuracy of the smoke meter. The main effect was seen at the light load KP1 where smoke emissions were almost halved in Build #2 engine compared with Build #1 engine (Figure 8). It is believed that PM was formed during the combustion in Build #1 engine but that in-cylinder temperatures were too low to promote its post-combustion oxidation. In the case of Build #2 engine, it is believed that the increased mixing associated with the lower compression ratio suppressed PM formation, eliminating the need for high in-cylinder temperatures for the oxidation process.

The compression ratio did not seem to affect fuel consumption very much, as seen in
Figure 9, which shows the fuel consumption during injection-timing responses at the three loads tested in both engine builds. The difference between engine builds was close to the measurement accuracy of +/- 1.0% and may therefore be considered negligible except at key point 3, where a clear increase in fuel consumption is seen. Since the tests were carried out at fixed gross indicated load, the fuel consumption was a measure of the fuel conversion efficiency and concealed any differences in friction.

The impact of the change of compression ratio on HC emissions was largest at the lowest load condition KP1. It is believed that the conditions for HC formation are more prevalent at light loads with lower in-cylinder pressures and temperatures leading to over-leaning and quenching of the flame and thus to HC emissions. The increase of HC emissions follows a similar reasoning as for PM, where the lower compression ratio engine offers a reduced pressure at the start of injection and a longer penetration time due to the increased auto-ignition delay and subsequent increased penetration of the fuel spray into the chamber. This leads to excessive fuel-air dilution from increased mixing at the boundary of the mixture. In addition, the increased penetration of the fuel spray is more likely to reach the colder cylinder walls or piston bowl, as in gasoline applications, which provides ideal conditions for flame quenching, incomplete combustion and pool fires. It was found that CO emissions varied similarly to HC emissions.

4. Conclusions

The work described has shown how compression ratio and injection timing assist in achieving lower NOx and soot emissions over a broader operating region. This approach has aimed to achieve HCCI operation levels of engine out NOx and soot emissions whilst minimising HC and CO emissions. The exploration of the fundamentals of Diesel combustion to achieve low engine-out emissions has resulted in an improved understanding of the in-cylinder phenomena and the relationship with emissions formation.

In order to allow an extensive exploration, a single-cylinder Diesel engine was employed and the test cell was designed such that operating conditions could be set independently precluding the limitation imposed by multi-cylinder production hardware characteristics.

The impact of reducing compression ratio has been assessed across three part load conditions. In each case, as injection timing was varied, fuelling was adjusted to maintain constant GIMEP. With the low compression build at part load, soot and NOx emissions were reduced with the latter effect diminishing as injection timing was retarded. It was concluded that lower pressure and temperature during the injection and combustion promoted fuel-air mixing, which reduced both the rate of combustion and proportion of diffusion combustion. When diffusion combustion was suppressed, the results showed NOx emissions to be less sensitive to in-cylinder pressure and temperature. It was found that when the starting point was a fully-premixed-charge combustion, reducing the compression ratio decreased the maximum rate of pressure change, whereas when diffusion combustion was present, its reduction fuelled the premixed combustion thereby increasing the maximum rate of pressure change. These investigations have illustrated that reducing compression ratio offers similar benefits to those of retarded injection timing, but that noise emissions are increased with combined premixed and diffusion combustion.
Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the support received by the “Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851” through the Industrial Fellowship scheme.

References

Captions
Figure 1: Effect of ensemble averaging on combustion characteristics.
Figure 2: Piston-bowl cross-sections for builds #1 and #2 (added volume for build #2 is shown in black).
Figure 3: Illustration of the in-cylinder and combustion characteristics analysed.
Figure 4: Impact of compression ratio on NOx emissions.
Figure 5: Impact of compression ratio on in-cylinder pressure and temperature at auto-ignition.
Figure 6: Impact of compression ratio on auto-ignition delay.
Figure 7: Impact of compression ratio on maximum rate of pressure change.
Figure 8: Impact of compression ratio on smoke emissions.
Figure 9: Impact of compression ratio on fuel consumption.

Table 1: Summary of specifications for Build #1 and Build #2 engines.
Table 2: Main operating conditions and hardware values or ranges investigated.
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Graph showing the relationship between pressure at SOC (bar) and temperature at SOC (deg C) as the angle of start of main injection (deg CA ATDC) varies. The graph includes data points for different engines and injection configurations (KP1 #1, KP1 #2, KP2 #1, KP2 #2, KP3 #1, KP3 #2).
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## Tables

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>Build #1</th>
<th>Build #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swept volume</td>
<td>500 cc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore x stroke</td>
<td>86 mm x 86 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet valves arrangement</td>
<td>One tangential and one helical valve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed range</td>
<td>750 to 4500 rev/min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum in-cylinder pressure</td>
<td>1000 rev/min: 11 MPa</td>
<td>1250 rev/min: 13 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum exhaust temperature</td>
<td>1500 rev/min and higher: 15 MPa</td>
<td>760 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ratio</td>
<td>18.4:1</td>
<td>16.0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piston bowl volume</td>
<td>22.0 cc</td>
<td>26.3 cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of swirl range</td>
<td>1.5 to 4.5 Rs</td>
<td>1.0 to 3.5 Rs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection equipment</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel type</td>
<td>Ultra low Sulphur Diesel (reference fuel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-pressure pump</td>
<td>Delphi DFP 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel pressure range</td>
<td>30 to 160 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injector</td>
<td>Delphi NPO DFI 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injector nozzle</td>
<td>7-hole, 135 µm hole diameter, 680 cc/min, 154°, 0.76 coefficient of discharge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key point</th>
<th>Values or ranges investigated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build point</td>
<td>KP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (rev/min)</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross load (bar GIMEP)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ratio</td>
<td>#1: 18.4:1 / #2: 16.0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piston-bowl volume (cm³)</td>
<td>#1: 22.0 / #2: 26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injector nozzle</td>
<td>7-hole, 680 cc/min, 154 °, 0.76 coefficient of discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-injection quantity</td>
<td>Adjusted to maintain load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection pressure (bar)</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot-injection duration (µs)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot separation (°CA)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOI 2 (°CA ATDC)</td>
<td>-5.0 to 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet EGR rate (%)</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry AFR (:-)</td>
<td>29.3:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swirl throttle (% shut)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet temperature (°C)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>